

# Pointwise estimation of the density of regression errors by model selection

Sandra Placade

MAP5 Université Paris 5

27 août 2008

# Framework

- Let  $(X_i, Y_i)$  be a sample from the regression framework :

$$Y_i = b(X_i) + \epsilon_i$$

with

- The  $(X_i)$  i.i.d. variables from density  $\mu$  supported on  $[0, 1]$ .  
Moreover,  $\mu$  is lower bounded by  $m_0 > 0$  and upper bounded by  $m_1 < \infty$ .
- The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are i.i.d. variables from density  $f$  supported on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  
independent from the  $(X_i)$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = 0$  and upper bounded by  $\nu < \infty$ .

# Framework

- Let  $(X_i, Y_i)$  be a sample from the regression framework :

$$Y_i = b(X_i) + \epsilon_i$$

with

- The  $(X_i)$  i.i.d. variables from density  $\mu$  supported on  $[0, 1]$ .  
Moreover,  $\mu$  is lower bounded by  $m_0 > 0$  and upper bounded by  $m_1 < \infty$ .
  - The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are i.i.d. variables from density  $f$  supported on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  
independent from the  $(X_i)$ , with  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon_i] = 0$  and upper bounded by  $\nu < \infty$ .
- This lecture propose an estimator  $\tilde{f}$  of  $f$  adapted to the pointwise risk :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)]$$

where  $x_0$  is a fixed point in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Principle of error estimation

- The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are unobserved, so we construct proxies. More precisely, we observe a  $2n$ -sample  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  that we split into two independent samples :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

## Principle of error estimation

- The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are unobserved, so we construct proxies. More precisely, we observe a  $2n$ -sample  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  that we split into two independent samples :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- From  $Z^-$  we build an estimator  $\hat{b}$  of  $b$

## Principle of error estimation

- The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are unobserved, so we construct proxies. More precisely, we observe a  $2n$ -sample  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  that we split into two independent samples :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- From  $Z^-$  we build an estimator  $\hat{b}$  of  $b$
- The residuals from the second sample :

$$\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

are proxies from the  $(\epsilon_i)$ . Given  $Z^-$ , they are i.i.d. from density  $f^-$ .

## Principle of error estimation

- The  $(\epsilon_i)$  are unobserved, so we construct proxies. More precisely, we observe a  $2n$ -sample  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  that we split into two independent samples :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- From  $Z^-$  we build an estimator  $\hat{b}$  of  $b$
- The residuals from the second sample :

$$\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

are proxies from the  $(\epsilon_i)$ . Given  $Z^-$ , they are i.i.d. from density  $f^-$ .

- Finally, by applying a density estimation procedure to the  $(\hat{\epsilon}_i)$ , we get an estimator  $\tilde{f}$ .

## The pointwise risk

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$



## The pointwise risk

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$
- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-]$  is a density estimation error

## The pointwise risk

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$ 
  - $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-]$  is a density estimation error
  - As  $\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i) = \epsilon_i + (b - \hat{b})(X_i)$ , we have :

$$f^-(x_0) = \int_0^1 f(x_0 - (b - \hat{b})(x))\mu(x)dx$$

## The pointwise risk

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$ 
  - $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-]$  is a density estimation error
  - As  $\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i) = \epsilon_i + (b - \hat{b})(X_i)$ , we have :

$$f^-(x_0) = \int_0^1 f(x_0 - (b - \hat{b})(x))\mu(x)dx$$

Then, if  $f$  is Lipschitz with constant  $L$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(f - f^-)^2(x_0)] &\leq \mathbb{E}[\int_0^1 (f(x_0) - f(x_0 - (b - \hat{b})(x)))^2 \mu(x) dx] \\ &\leq L^2 \mathbb{E}[\int_0^1 (b - \hat{b})^2(x) \mu(x) dx]\end{aligned}$$

## The pointwise risk

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$ 
  - $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-]$  is a density estimation error
  - As  $\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i) = \epsilon_i + (b - \hat{b})(X_i)$ , we have :

$$f^-(x_0) = \int_0^1 f(x_0 - (b - \hat{b})(x))\mu(x)dx$$

Then, if  $f$  is Lipschitz with constant  $L$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(f - f^-)^2(x_0)] &\leq \mathbb{E}[\int_0^1 (f(x_0) - f(x_0 - (b - \hat{b})(x)))^2 \mu(x) dx] \\ &\leq L^2 \mathbb{E}[\int_0^1 (b - \hat{b})^2(x) \mu(x) dx]\end{aligned}$$

- So we need two estimators :
  - An estimator of the regression function with an upper bound for the integrated risk
  - An estimator of the density with an upper bound for the pointwise risk

# I) Density estimation by pointwise model selection

Let  $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  i.i.d. from density  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\nu := \|g\|_\infty < \infty$ , and  $x_0$  a fixed point in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We want to build an estimator of  $g$  by pointwise model selection.

- I.a) Principle of model selection
- I.b) Set of models
- I.c) Classes of regularity
- I.d) Estimation procedure
- I.e) Results

## I.a) Principle of model selection

We consider a finite collection  $\{S_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  of linear subsets of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

## I.a) Principle of model selection

We consider a finite collection  $\{S_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  of linear subsets of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- For every  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , given  $\{\phi_\lambda, \lambda \in I_m\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $S_m$ , the orthogonal projection of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  is :  $g_m = \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \langle \phi_\lambda, g \rangle \phi_\lambda$ . Then, we consider the projection estimator of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  :

$$\hat{g}_m := \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_\lambda(U_i) \right) \phi_\lambda$$

## I.a) Principle of model selection

We consider a finite collection  $\{S_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  of linear subsets of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- For every  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , given  $\{\phi_\lambda, \lambda \in I_m\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $S_m$ , the orthogonal projection of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  is :  $g_m = \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \langle \phi_\lambda, g \rangle \phi_\lambda$ . Then, we consider the projection estimator of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  :

$$\hat{g}_m := \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_\lambda(U_i) \right) \phi_\lambda$$

- We get a collection of estimators  $\{\hat{g}_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$ , from which we would like to select the best one. For every  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g)^2(x_0)] = \underbrace{(g - g_m)^2(x_0)}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)]}_{\text{variance}}$$



## I.a) Principle of model selection

We consider a finite collection  $\{S_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$  of linear subsets of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

- For every  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , given  $\{\phi_\lambda, \lambda \in I_m\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $S_m$ , the orthogonal projection of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  is :  $g_m = \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \langle \phi_\lambda, g \rangle \phi_\lambda$ . Then, we consider the projection estimator of  $g$  onto  $S_m$  :

$$\hat{g}_m := \sum_{\lambda \in I_m} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_\lambda(U_i) \right) \phi_\lambda$$

- We get a collection of estimators  $\{\hat{g}_m, m \in \mathcal{M}_n\}$ , from which we would like to select the best one. For every  $m \in \mathcal{M}_n$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g)^2(x_0)] = \underbrace{(g - g_m)^2(x_0)}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)]}_{\text{variance}}$$

- We estimate the bias term with help of  $\hat{g}_m$ .
- We upper-bound the variance term by a deterministic term function of  $m$  and  $n$ , called the penalty.

## I.b) Set of models

The models are built from the sine-cardinal function :

$$\phi(x) := \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$$

Fore every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define :

$$\phi_{m,k} := \sqrt{m}\phi(mx - k)$$

$$S_m = Vect(\phi_{m,k}, k \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and we consider the collection of models  $\mathcal{M}_n = \{S_m, m = 1, \dots, M_n\}$ ,  
with  $M_n \leq n$ .

## I.c) Classes of regularity

For every  $\beta > 0$ ,  $K > 0$ , let's define :

$$W(\beta, K) := \left\{ h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_{\mathbb{R}} h = 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h^*(\lambda)|^2 \lambda^{2\beta} d\lambda \leq L^2 \right\}$$

where  $h^*(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx$ .

## I.c) Classes of regularity

For every  $\beta > 0$ ,  $K > 0$ , let's define :

$$W(\beta, K) := \left\{ h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_{\mathbb{R}} h = 1, \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h^*(\lambda)|^2 \lambda^{2\beta} d\lambda \leq L^2 \right\}$$

where  $h^*(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx$ .

### Proposition

Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $K > 0$ , then :

$$(h - h_m)^2(x) \leq C m^{-(2\beta-1)}, \quad \forall h \in W(\beta, K), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant  $C$ .

## I.d) Estimation procedure

For every  $m \leq M_n$ ,  $\hat{g}_m = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{m,k}(U_i)] \phi_{m,k}$  and :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g)^2(x_0)] = (g - g_m)^2(x_0) + \mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)]$$

## I.d) Estimation procedure

For every  $m \leq M_n$ ,  $\hat{g}_m = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{Z}} [(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{m,k}(U_i)] \phi_{m,k}$  and :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g)^2(x_0)] = (g - g_m)^2(x_0) + \mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)]$$

- Upper-bound for the variance term :  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)] \leq \frac{\nu m}{n}$

## I.d) Estimation procedure

For every  $m \leq M_n$ ,  $\hat{g}_m = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{m,k}(U_i)] \phi_{m,k}$  and :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g)^2(x_0)] = (g - g_m)^2(x_0) + \mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)]$$

- Upper-bound for the variance term :  $\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_m - g_m)^2(x_0)] \leq \frac{\nu m}{n}$
- The bias term is difficult to estimate, we replace it by :

$$\sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} (g_j - g_m)^2(x_0)$$

Indeed, if  $f \in W(\beta, L)$  with  $\beta > 1/2$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} (g_j - g_m)^2(x_0) &\leq 2 \left\{ \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} (g_j - g)^2(x_0) + (g_m - g)^2(x_0) \right\} \\ &\leq 2C \left\{ \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} j^{-(2\beta-1)} + m^{-(2\beta-1)} \right\} \\ &= C' m^{-(2\beta-1)} \end{aligned}$$

- The natural idea is to replace  $(g_j - g_m)^2(x_0)$  by  $(\hat{g}_j - \hat{g}_m)^2(x_0)$  but :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_j - \hat{g}_m)^2(x_0)] = (g_j - g_m)^2(x_0) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\hat{g}_j - \hat{g}_m)(x_0) - (g_j - g_m)(x_0)]^2}_{\leq \nu(j+m)/n}$$



- The natural idea is to replace  $(g_j - g_m)^2(x_0)$  by  $(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0)$  but :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0)] = (g_j - g_m)^2(x_0) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)(x_0) - (g_j - g_m)(x_0)]^2}_{\leq \nu(j+m)/n}$$

- We define for every  $m \leq M_n$  :

$$\widehat{Crit}(m) := \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} [(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0) - x_{j,m} \frac{\nu(j+m)}{n}] + x_m \frac{\nu m}{n}$$

$$\widehat{m} := \arg \min_{m=1, \dots, M_n} \widehat{Crit}(m)$$

where  $(x_{j,m})$  and  $x_m$  are numbers of order  $\ln(j+m)$  and  $\ln m$ .  
Then our estimator is  $\widehat{g}_{\widehat{m}}$ .

- The natural idea is to replace  $(g_j - g_m)^2(x_0)$  by  $(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0)$  but :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0)] = (g_j - g_m)^2(x_0) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)(x_0) - (g_j - g_m)(x_0)]^2}_{\leq \nu(j+m)/n}$$

- We define for every  $m \leq M_n$  :

$$\widehat{Crit}(m) := \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} [(\widehat{g}_j - \widehat{g}_m)^2(x_0) - x_{j,m} \frac{\nu(j+m)}{n}] + x_m \frac{\nu m}{n}$$

$$\widehat{m} := \arg \min_{m=1, \dots, M_n} \widehat{Crit}(m)$$

where  $(x_{j,m})$  and  $x_m$  are numbers of order  $\ln(j+m)$  and  $\ln m$ .  
Then our estimator is  $\widehat{g}_{\widehat{m}}$ .

- Remark** :  $\nu$  can be replaced by an estimator  $\widehat{\nu}_n$ .

## Theorem

If  $g \in W(\beta, K)$  with  $\beta > 1/2$  then :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_{\widehat{m}} - g)^2(x_0)] \leq C \left( \frac{n}{\ln n} \right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + \frac{C'}{n}$$

## Theorem

If  $g \in W(\beta, K)$  with  $\beta > 1/2$  then :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\widehat{g}_{\widehat{m}} - g)^2(x_0)] \leq C \left( \frac{n}{\ln n} \right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + \frac{C'}{n}$$

cf Butucea (2001)

- The minimax rate of convergence over  $W(\beta, K)$  is  $n^{-(2\beta-1)/(2\beta)}$
- The adaptative minimax rate of convergence over the classes  $\{W(\beta, K), \beta > 1/2\}$  is  $(n/\ln n)^{-(2\beta-1)/(2\beta)}$

## II) The errors density

Let's consider  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  from the regression framework, and :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

## II) The errors density

Let's consider  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  from the regression framework, and :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- Let  $\hat{b}$  be any estimator of  $b$  built from the sample  $Z^-$ .

## II) The errors density

Let's consider  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  from the regression framework, and :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- Let  $\hat{b}$  be any estimator of  $b$  built from the sample  $Z^-$ .
- Let consider :

$$\hat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \hat{b}(X_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

the residuals from the sample  $Z^+$ . Given  $Z^-$ , the  $(\hat{\epsilon}_i)$  are i.i.d. of conditionnal density  $f^-$ , and  $\nu^- := \|f^-\|_\infty$ .

## II) The errors density

Let's consider  $(X_i, Y_i)_{i=-n, \dots, n}$  from the regression framework, and :

$$Z^- = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = -n, \dots, -1\}, \quad Z^+ = \{(X_i, Y_i), i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

- Let  $\widehat{b}$  be any estimator of  $b$  built from the sample  $Z^-$ .
- Let consider :

$$\widehat{\epsilon}_i = Y_i - \widehat{b}(X_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

the residuals from the sample  $Z^+$ . Given  $Z^-$ , the  $(\widehat{\epsilon}_i)$  are i.i.d. of conditionnal density  $f^-$ , and  $\nu^- := \|f^-\|_\infty$ .

- For every  $m \leq M_n$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}_m^- &:= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ((1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{m,k}(\widehat{\epsilon}_i)) \phi_{m,k} \\ \widehat{Crit}^-(m) &= \sup_{m \leq j \leq M_n} [(\widehat{f}_j^- - \widehat{f}_m^-)^2(x_0) - x_{j,m} \frac{\nu^-(j+m)}{n}] + x_m \frac{\nu^- m}{n} \\ \widehat{m} &= \arg \min_{m=1, \dots, M_n} \widehat{Crit}^-(m) \end{aligned}$$

and our estimator of  $f$  is  $\widetilde{f} := \widehat{f}_{\widehat{m}}^-$ .



## Theorem

If  $f \in W(\beta, K)$  with  $\beta > 3/2$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq C \left( \frac{n}{\ln n} \right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + C' \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{b} - b\|_\mu^2]$$

## Theorem

If  $f \in W(\beta, K)$  with  $\beta > 3/2$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + C' \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{b} - b\|_\mu^2]$$

**Proof** :  $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-] \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + \frac{C'}{n}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] \leq C'' \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}}$
- $\mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{b} - b\|_\mu^2]$

## Theorem

If  $f \in W(\beta, K)$  with  $\beta > 3/2$  :

$$\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + C' \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{b} - b\|_\mu^2]$$

**Proof** :  $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f)^2(x_0)] \leq 2\{\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)]\}$

- $\mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)|Z^-] \leq C \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}} + \frac{C'}{n}$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[(\tilde{f} - f^-)^2(x_0)] \leq C'' \left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)^{-\frac{2\beta-1}{2\beta}}$
- $\mathbb{E}[(f^- - f)^2(x_0)] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{b} - b\|_\mu^2]$
- **Consequence** If we consider an adaptive estimator for  $b$  (cf Baraud, 2001), the rate of convergence for  $\tilde{f}$  is the maximum of :
  - the minimax rate of convergence of  $b$ .
  - the minimax rate of convergence of  $f$  is the sample ( $\epsilon_i$ ) was observed.

# Bibliography

- Y. Baraud, 'Model selection for regression on a random design', 2001, ESAIM.
- B. Laurent, C Ludena, C Prieur, 'Adaptative estimation of linear functionals by model selection', 2007, ArXiv.
- C. Butucea, 'Exact adaptative pointwise estimation on Sobolev classes of densities', 2001, ESAIM.